

EXECUTIVE

24 November 2022

* Councillor Julia McShane (Chairman) *
Councillor Joss Bigmore (Vice-Chairman)

* Councillor Tim Anderson
* Councillor Tom Hunt
Councillor George Potter

* Councillor John Redpath
* Councillor John Rigg
* Councillor James Steel*

*Present

Councillor Fiona White was in remote attendance.

EX51 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies for absence were received from the Deputy Leader, Councillor Joss Bigmore, and Councillor George Potter, Lead Councillor for Climate Change.

EX52 LOCAL CODE OF CONDUCT - DISCLOSABLE PECUNIARY INTEREST

There were no declarations of interest.

EX53 MINUTES

The minutes of the meeting held on 27 October 2022 were confirmed as a correct record. The Chairman signed the minutes.

EX54 LEADER'S ANNOUNCEMENTS

The Leader made the following announcements:

A wonderful day was enjoyed last Sunday at the Festive Family Fun Day with its huge programme of free family fun, performances and activities. Thanks were given to everyone who joined to celebrate the start of the festive season. Everyone was directed to social media channels and the Visit Surrey web site for more festivities in Guildford up until 5 January.

Carers' Information Fair at the Hive. There was a free event for Surrey's unpaid family and friend carers this Saturday between 10am and 2pm at The Hive. The event was organised by Action for Carers in Surrey. The event would provide an opportunity for people to celebrate Carers' Rights Week. Unpaid carers registered with Action for Carers could get a 50% discount code for the honey pot café at the hive

White Ribbon Campaign for Domestic Abuse. This year's White Ribbon campaign starts on 25 November. The date is designated by the United Nations as the International Day for the Elimination of Violence Against Women. It would be followed by 16 days of activism, concluding on Human Rights Day on 10 December.

Guildford & Waverley Business Question Time was a free networking event for the local business community. The aim of the evening was to explore immediate concerns in terms of the cost-of-living crisis, energy security, climate change, recruitment, post-Brexit trade and other national and local challenges. The event would be held from 5:00pm on Monday 12 December 2022 at Charterhouse School in Godalming and chaired by local broadcaster, Peter Gordon. The question panel of key business leaders included Strategic Director of Place, Dawn Hudd and places could be reserved via Eventbrite:

[Guildford & Waverley Business Question Time Tickets, Mon 12 Dec 2022 at 17:00 | Eventbrite](#)

Christmas Fair at the Hive on Saturday 10 December between 11am to 2pm would include Christmas stalls, Santa's grotto, chestnuts on the fire, and music from Get Plucky Ukulele Group and Rhythm of Voice Community Choir.

The annual Christmas Bereavement Service at the Crematorium would be held on Tuesday 6 December at 6.30pm. There would be carols, readings and a chance to light a candle in memory of loved one.

The government wanted the UK to be the best place for veterans to live in the world. The Veterans' Survey would gather views from the UK veteran community on how to shape future services. The Council was encouraging UK veterans and their families living in our borough to complete the online survey on the [Office of National Statistics web site](#).

The Council was considering building a trim trail in Shalford Park and would like people's feedback on the style and location. The Shalford survey would run until 8 January 2023. Search Shalford Survey on the Council's [website](#) to complete the online survey

The Farmers Market would return on Tuesday 6 December on the High Street (every first Tuesday of the month)

Finally, Guildford Children's Business Fair had taken place last Saturday. Young entrepreneurs aged 7-17, launched 40 businesses and sold to hundreds of customers. Strategic Director of Place, Dawn Hudd, joined as a judge, touring the stands, and awarding prizes for Best Product, Best Stand and Best Sales Pitch.

EX55 TO CONSIDER ANY RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

The intention of the report was to collate and track progress of all recommendations made by the Overview and Scrutiny Committee to the Executive throughout the year, and to log the Executive decisions on the submitted matters.

The Executive noted the report and that there had been no updates since the previous meeting.

EX56 PARISH COUNCILS CONCURRENT FUNCTIONS GRANT AID APPLICATIONS FOR ASSISTANCE 2023-24

The Executive considered a report on the Council's concurrent functions grant aid scheme that provided financial assistance to parish councils. Concurrent functions

were services which both the Borough Council and the parish councils were empowered to undertake. The Lead Councillor for Resources introduced the report.

The report was produced in time for the parish councils to put together their budgets for the coming year. The Council had received 28 detailed applications from 14 of the 23 parish councils amounting to a total of £93,663. A panel of officers had evaluated the bids. Of those, 4 bids were subsequently withdrawn or rejected. The bids brought forward for approval totalled £67,305, which was £22,695 under the base budget of £90,000. The remaining budget of £22,695 was to be transferred to the Parish Council Urgent Schemes Reserves. Details were set out in the appendices to the report.

The Executive

RESOLVED:

- (1) That the concurrent functions grant budget for 2023-24 be set at £90,000, subject to final confirmation by the Council, at its budget meeting in February 2023.
- (2) That future years' budget from 2024-25 be reviewed in consultation with parish councils.
- (3) That the parish council requests for grant aid for 2023-24, as set out in Appendix 3 to the report, be approved.

Reason(s):

- To assist parish councils with expenditure on concurrent function schemes in 2023-24.
- To enable parish councils to take account of financial assistance from Guildford Borough Council when calculating their precept requirements for 2023-24.

EX57 SUPPLEMENTARY ESTIMATE FOR FUNDS IN RESPECT OF PLANNING APPEALS RELATING TO MEMBER OVERTURN DECISIONS

Appeals against planning decisions were a statutory provision within planning law. An applicant could appeal any decision or a failure to make a decision on a planning application. When an appeal was lodged, the local planning authority should be prepared to make a robust defence of its decision. Most appeals were addressed at officer level incurring a time cost, but for large scale appeals on complex applications there was a necessity to appoint additional counsel and specialist witnesses. There was currently no budget for such appointments and therefore a supplementary budget was sought.

The Executive considered a report that sought a supplementary budget for three appeals which had already been considered (Ash Manor, the Howard of Effingham and Urnfield) and a supplementary estimate to be brought forward at the time an appeal was made to secure agreement for monies to defend the appeal. The report was introduced by the Lead Councillor for Development Management.

It was noted that the funding would be drawn from the revenue budget which was a serious level of cost to the Council and that every care should be taken to ensure that planning refusals were underpinned by policy. The Chairman of the Planning Committee, Councillor Fiona White was in attendance and commented that Planning Committee members must be able to use their discretion if they understood there to be sound policy reasons for refusal.

The Executive

RESOLVED:

- (1) That the need for a supplementary estimate for the Development Management service of £535,000 to cover the payments required to defend three significant appeals relating to Member overturn decisions which were subsequently heard at either public inquiry or as a hearing, be noted.
- (2) That full Council (6 December 2022) be recommended to note the report and to approve the supplementary estimate.

Reason:

To ensure robust defence of planning appeals resulting from Member overturn decisions.

EX58 DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT ESTABLISHMENT REVIEW

The Executive noted that the Council had seen an unprecedented number of planning applications submitted during the past two years. This, combined with the effects of the pandemic and the loss of several key staff members, had left the organisation in a position where a significant backlog of applications had built up affecting performance and customer service.

The Executive considered a report that set out a series of mitigations to address the backlog undertaken to date and which proposed a supplementary budget to support several short-term measures to bolster performance and secure longer-term support to ensure that performance returned to pre-pandemic levels. To achieve this there was a requirement to increase the number of establishment roles within the Development Management and Customer Case and Parking services, where key validation tasks were carried out when planning applications were first received. The Lead Councillor for Development Management introduced the report.

The measures set out in the report were considered essential to address the impact on the service over the past two years to improve performance and efficiency and to establish a robust and customer focused service that met all key indicators and reduced the threat of designation.

The Executive agreed that customer service and communications with applicants needed to be improved. Consequently, the Executive

RESOLVED:

- (1) That a supplementary budget for the 2022-23 financial year for the Development Management service of £465,400 and £15,800 for the Customer Case and Parking Service to provide additional resources and support to address the backlog of planning applications and ensure the delivery of a robust service, be approved.
- (2) That additional funding for the 2023-24 financial year for the Development Management service of £387,700 and £100,420 for the Customer Case and Parking Service to provide additional resources and support, be approved.

Reasons:

To ensure the return to a robust and customer focused Development Management function and to ensure that we can meet Key Performance Indicators and reduce the threat of Designation.

EX59 GUILDFORD JOINT COMMITTEE

The Executive considered a report on the future of the Guildford Joint Committee, which had been established in 2018, and had dealt with a range of GBC and SCC executive and non-executive functions.

The report noted that Surrey County Council's Cabinet had decided in February 2022 to transfer all executive highway functions (including on-street parking) from the Guildford Joint Committee, and other Joint Committees and Local Committees in Surrey, to county officers, in consultation with relevant divisional members. Those changes took effect from 1 April 2022. The County Council had also agreed at its Annual Meeting on 24 May 2022 that county councillors elected as chairmen or vice-chairmen of the Local and Joint Committees across the county should hold those offices only until 31 October 2022. On 27 September 2022, the Leader of Surrey County Council had decided to remove the remaining executive functions and advisory functions from all the Local Committees and Joint Committees in the county with effect from 11 October 2022. At the County Council meeting on 11 October 2022, formal approval was given to serve notice of the County Council's intention to withdraw from all of Joint Committees in Surrey (the notice to expire on or before 30 April 2023), and to transfer all non-executive functions relating to Public Rights of Way back to the County's own local governance arrangements.

Given the series of decisions taken by Surrey County Council, the Executive considered a report proposing the transfer the existing executive and advisory functions currently within the remit of the Joint Committee back to Guildford Borough Council. If agreed, full Council would be asked on 6 December 2022, to transfer the existing non-executive functions relating to public rights of way back to Guildford Borough Council. If the Executive and Council agreed to this action, then the Joint Committee would effectively be dissolved as of 6 December (rather than next April) as it would have no functions delegated to it.

The Lead Councillor for Resources introduced the report in the absence of the Deputy Leader of the Council.

The Executive, having considered that the decisions taken by the County Council to be regrettable,

RESOLVED:

- (1) That the transfer of all the Borough Council's executive and advisory functions from the Guildford Joint Committee's remit, as set out in the Joint Committee Constitution, be approved with immediate effect, and that those functions revert back to being delegated to the Executive.
- (2) That full Council, on 6 December 2022, be asked to approve the transfer of all the Borough Council's non-executive functions relating to public rights of way from the Guildford Joint Committee's remit, as set out in the Joint Committee Constitution, and that those functions be delegated back to the Lead Specialist – Legal.

Reason:

To enable the Council to consider its position in light of the withdrawal of all County Council functions from the Guildford Joint Committee.

EX60 SELECTION OF MAYOR AND DEPUTY MAYOR 2023-24

At its meeting on 6 December 2022, the Council would be asked to consider nominations for the Mayoralty and Deputy Mayoralty of the Borough for the municipal year 2023-24. The Council would be requested to consider formally the nomination of the current Deputy Mayor, Councillor Masuk Miah for the Mayoralty of the Borough for 2023-24, subject to Councillor Miah's re-election to the Council on 4 May 2023.

Group leaders had been asked to submit nominations for the Deputy Mayoralty for 2023-24 by no later than 18 November 2022. No nominations had been received.

It was noted in the Supplementary Information Sheet that if no nominations had been received before the Council meeting on 6 December, the Council would be asked to agree to defer consideration of that matter to the meeting of the Council to be held on 8 February 2023.

The Executive

RESOLVED:

To recommend to Council on 6 December 2022:

That, subject to the outcome of the Borough Council elections in May 2023, the Deputy Mayor, Councillor Masuk Miah be nominated for the Mayoralty of the Borough for the 2023-24 municipal year.

Reason:

To make early preparations, subject to the outcome of the Borough Council elections in May 2023, for the selection of the Mayor and Deputy Mayor for the municipal year 2023-24.

The meeting finished at 7:35pm.

Signed

Date

Chairman

EXECUTIVE

12 December 2022

- * Councillor Julia McShane (Chairman)
- * Councillor Joss Bigmore (Vice-Chairman)

- | | |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|
| * Councillor Tim Anderson | Councillor John Redpath |
| * Councillor Tom Hunt | Councillor John Rigg |
| * Councillor George Potter | Councillor James Steel |

*Present

EX61 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies for absence were received from Councillors John Redpath, John Rigg and James Steel.

EX62 LOCAL CODE OF CONDUCT - DISCLOSABLE PECUNIARY INTEREST

There were no declarations of interest.

EX63 LEADER'S ANNOUNCEMENTS

'Warm hubs' were being opened across Surrey in local community spaces which were run by Surrey County Council (SCC). The hubs were drop-in centres where residents might have a hot drink, meet others and receive supportive information and advice about energy costs. A map showing the location of the hubs across Surrey was available on the SCC website. A list of the hubs in Guildford was available on the Council's website.

[Help with finding a warm hub - Guildford Borough Council](#)

There was a cold weather warning in place across Guildford and residents were asked to be prepared and to check in on vulnerable friends and neighbours.

Residents concerned for anyone sleeping 'rough' or outdoors could contact the Council's support team on 01483 302495.

Over the Christmas period there would be changes to bin collections and no garden waste collection for two weeks between 26 December and 6 January. A full list of the bin collection schedule was available on the Council's website.

[Changes to bin collection days over Christmas and New Year - Guildford Borough Council](#)

Events at Guildford Museum included 'A History of Guildford Football and the Beautiful Game' as well as the 'Twelve Days of Christmas Trail' which was suitable for children aged four and above. Entry to the museum and the Trail was free. The museum was open Wednesday – Saturday 12 Noon to 4:30pm (last entry 4:00pm).

EX64 GUILDFORD PARK ROAD REDEVELOPMENT - ACTION TO SECURE ELECTRICAL CONNECTIONS AND CAPACITY FOR THE NEW DEVELOPMENT

The Council had been working with the appointed professional team to develop a new planning application for the Guildford Park site to deliver a residential scheme of circa 240 new homes. This work had progressed to RIBA stage 3 and the planning application would be submitted soon.

It had been established that there were electrical distribution network capacity issues in Guildford that could have significant consequences for the cost and deliverability of the redevelopment scheme in the future. The Council had the opportunity to act now to secure capacity for its development through early funding of the future electrical connections required for the scheme. The cost was £360,000 based upon the quotation received from UK Power Networks (UKPN). This cost had been budgeted for but was not expected to be incurred at this early stage of the project. Officers had no existing delegation to authorise payment in respect of this cost at this stage.

Given the urgency of the decision which, in compliance with the UKPN contractual arrangement, was to be taken no later than 15 December 2022 the special meeting of the Executive had been convened.

The Leader of the Council introduced the report and thanked the Chairman of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee for waiving the call-in procedure and the requirement to wait the 28-day period for a key decision.

It was explained that since the agenda had been published, legal advice had been received which considered that instructing UKPN to undertake all works, including those that were contestable, would not comply with procurement regulations unless an appropriate exemption was sought. Contestable works were the elements of the connections work which could be undertaken by others.

For the purposes of securing the capacity it was acceptable to instruct UKPN to undertake non-contestable works only. This work had been costed at £70,106.89. Non-contestable works were the elements of the connections work which must be completed by UKPN.

There was scope to instruct UKPN to undertake the contestable works at a later stage if it could be determined that this would provide best value for the project and an appropriate procurement exemption was sought. This information was set out in the Supplementary Information Sheet circulated at the meeting.

The UKPN quotation for the contestable works set out terms and conditions regarding timescales for take up but these were negotiable given the Council had entered into the agreement. If, in future, either the Council as developer or another party as developer required negotiations for contestable works this could be agreed alongside the non-contestable works.

UKPN would reimburse the Council should the work to develop the site not proceed, but the level of reimbursement would be subject to deductions dependent upon how much advance preparatory work had been undertaken by UKPN. Consequently, the Executive,

RESOLVED:

- (1) To authorise immediate payment of £70,106.89 to UK Power Networks (UKPN) to establish the capacity for, and cost of, the electrical connections that will be required for the Guildford Park Road Redevelopment scheme.
- (2) To authorise the Strategic Director: Place, in consultation with the Lead Councillor for Resources, to enter into such agreements as are necessary with UKPN.
- (3) To agree that funding for this payment be brought forward into this financial year from the approved HRA capital programme budget for 2023/24 in respect of this project.
- (4) To agree that the call-in provisions of Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rule 16 (h) should not apply in respect of the decision in respect of this matter due to its urgency.

Reasons:

Officers consider that authorising this payment now will be of significant benefit to this project in the future. Making the payment will secure electrical capacity for the scheme and shield it from unquantified but potentially significant cost, programme and deliverability risk.

The meeting finished at 7.13 pm

Signed

Chairman

Date

EXECUTIVE

5 January 2023

* Councillor Julia McShane (Chairman) *
Councillor Joss Bigmore (Vice-Chairman)

* Councillor Tim Anderson *
* Councillor Tom Hunt *
Councillor George Potter

* Councillor John Redpath *
* Councillor John Rigg *
* Councillor James Steel *

*Present

Councillor Fiona White was also in remote attendance.

EX65 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies for absence were received from Councillors Joss Bigmore and George Potter.

EX66 LOCAL CODE OF CONDUCT - DISCLOSABLE PECUNIARY INTEREST

There were no declarations of interest.

EX67 MINUTES

The minutes of the meetings held on 24 November 2022 and 12 December 2022 were confirmed as a correct record. The Chairman signed the minutes.

EX68 LEADER'S ANNOUNCEMENTS

The Leader of the Council had nothing further to add to those announcements made at the extraordinary meeting of the Council on Tuesday 3 January 2023.

EX69 TO CONSIDER ANY RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

The intention of the report was to collate and track progress of all recommendations made by the Overview and Scrutiny Committee to the Executive throughout the year, and to log the Executive decisions on the submitted matters.

The Executive noted the report and that there had been no updates since the previous meeting.

EX70 INFRASTRUCTURE FUNDING STATEMENT 2021-22

The Infrastructure Funding Statement (IFS) was a factual report which summarised the amount of developer contributions that had been secured, received and spent in the reported year. Regulation 121A of The Community Infrastructure Levy Regulations 2010, as amended, required any authority receiving contributions through the Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) and / or through planning obligations to produce

an annual Infrastructure Funding Statement to be provided to the Department for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities and to be posted on the Council's website.

The Executive would have preferred to see such funding utilised in a timelier manner, but ultimately many aspects in that regard was beyond its control.

The Executive,

RESOLVED:

1. That the Infrastructure Funding Statement 2021-22 be approved.
2. That authority to approve future Infrastructure Funding Statements be delegated to the Joint Executive Head of Planning Development.

Reason:

To ensure that the Council complies with the CIL Regulations to produce and publish an annual Infrastructure Funding Statement.

EX71 SEND HILL DISUSED SANDPIT - SUPPLEMENTARY ESTIMATE - STAGE 2 (INVASIVE) CONTAMINATION SURVEY

The land west of Winds Ridge, Send Hill, known as Send Hill Disused Sandpit, was a former landfill site. The asset had been transferred to the Council in the 1980s and was currently used as amenity land for the purposes of public recreation. It was allocated in the Local Plan as a site for housing development. If the site were to be developed, it was anticipated that a significant amount of remediation work may need to be undertaken. To inform the Executive's decision on whether to dispose of the asset it was proposed that a supplementary estimate of £25,000 be approved to pay for a Stage 2 (invasive) contamination survey.

With the knowledge gained from the results of the survey, the Council could obtain a more informed residual land valuation with which to advertise the sale of land on the open market and thereby obtain best consideration with risks and opportunities being made explicit. The risks and potential gains from both options were set out in the report.

The Executive considered there was a duty of care upon the Council to fully understand the nature of the deposits on the site. In addition, the estimate for the work acquired through the tendering process was competitive.

Consequently, the Executive,

RESOLVED:

That a supplementary estimate of £25,000 be approved from the Budget Pressures Reserve, for the purpose of commissioning a Stage 2 (invasive) contamination land survey at the Send Hill Disused Sandpit.

Reasons:

1. To inform a more accurate valuation of the land and generate a more detailed picture of the types of waste present.
2. The land may be heavily contaminated and does not support service delivery.

EX72 WEYSIDE URBAN VILLAGE FINANCIAL REVIEW

Weyside Urban Village was a major 41-hectare brownfield regeneration scheme that the Council anticipated would bring significant benefits to Guildford including approximately 1,500 homes across including 600 affordable homes, 2,000 square metres of community space and 6,500 square metres of employment space.

During the last three years the Council had committed significant funding to progress the site assembly and infrastructure construction to enable the development of the WUV site. It was intended that the funding would be fully recovered from the sale of ten land plots to housing developers and secured grant funding from Homes England and Enterprise M3 LEP. Over 44% of the site was currently in Council ownership, and 100% would be achieved on completion of land transfers with Thames Water Utilities Ltd. The Council had additionally secured £52.3m in grant funding from Homes England and signed a Grant Determination Agreement with Homes England to draw down the grant expenditure associated with the implementation of the infrastructure works, which was in progress. In October 2021, the Planning Committee issued a Resolution to Grant for the hybrid planning application for Weyside, with the decision notice to approve the application issued in March 2022.

It was agreed with the Executive that the project team would report the financial position to the Executive on an annual basis. The Executive considered a report that set out the financial forecast to the end of the programme, as well as proposed mitigation to address the potential financial deficit that has arisen because of macro-level factors.

The Executive heard that the broader economic landscape and its impact on the construction industry over the past 12 – 18 months had been profound. At the end of 2021, the Building Cost Information Service (BCIS) – which provided cost and price data for the UK construction industry - reported that the annual growth in its material cost index had reached a forty year high. Since then, pressures on supply chains had persisted and labour shortages had become more acute. Although the lifting of Covid restrictions had led to a return to high activity levels, the war in Ukraine and high rates of inflation had put the construction industry in further turmoil. The impact of these issues was being felt at a local level, with forecast construction and financing costs also increasing significantly.

The Executive noted that the report was thorough and transparent and that such detailed reporting would support sound decision making now and in the future. Officers should continue to provide a range of mitigating options for the Council going forward. The Lead Councillor for Regeneration confirmed that all councillors, not only Executive members, would be kept informed as the situation evolved. Consequently, the Executive,

RESOLVED:

- (1) To note the current forecasted eventual deficit in 2033 (year 10 of the project delivery programme), as set out in exempt Appendix 1 to the report submitted to the Executive, and resulting General Fund revenue implications, noting that this is based on a number of variables outlined within Section 4 of the report (Financial Implications).
- (2) To recommend that Full Council (at its extraordinary meeting on 16 January 2023) approves the continuation of the project until officers have completed the required due diligence described in recommendations (3) and (4) below and report back to Full Council in July 2023.
- (3) To request officers to provide alternative risk assessed option appraisals other than to proceed with the original Full Council approved scheme, including all possible mitigations and alterations to the current funding and specifications, indicating by use of a range of values where specific data is not available, and a full explanation of assumptions with reasons, sufficient to enable Members to make a fully informed decision on how it wishes to proceed at Full Council in July 2023.
- (4) To request officers to update the project with the latest assumptions, indices and valuations and report back to the July 2023 Full Council.
- (5) To approve the transfer of £72.062m from the provisional capital programme to the approved capital programme for payments which the Council is obliged to make to TWUL under the TW Agreement for 2022/23 and 2023/24, for costs necessary to meet the milestones set within the Homes England HIF agreement and design cost necessary to prepare the planning application for the SCC Waste Transfer Centre and construction of the New Council Depot.
- (6) To delegate to the Strategic Director of Place, in consultation with the Lead Councillor for Regeneration, and Lead Councillor for Finance and Planning Policy, authority to enter into such other contracts and legal agreements connected with the WUV as may be necessary in compliance with Procurement Procedure Rules and within the approved budget.

Reasons:

The projected deficit, and significantly wide variation and uncertainty of the outcome of this project, renders the project as no longer compliant with the Full Council approval to break even at zero cost to the Council, i.e. the project has greater or equal value to the receipts received. At this point Officers do not have Full Council authority to incur any further expenditure until councillors have considered their full options and implications. However, temporarily stopping expenditure is not realistic due to contractual commitments.

The recommendations would:

- Ensure that there is sufficient understanding of the projected financial forecast of the programme.
- Ensure that there is sufficient funding in the approved programme to cover the phase 1 & 2 infrastructure costs, SCC Waste Transfer Centre design cost,

construction of the new Council Depot and the payments which the Council is obliged to make to TWUL under the Thames Water Agreement for 2022/23 and 2023/24.

- Ensure that statutory service agreements and construction agreements can be entered into for the delivery of services and infrastructure for the development and to ensure that Homes England Housing Infrastructure Fund (HIF) milestones are achieved.
- Support the delivery of the Council's Corporate Plan (2021-2025) priorities, by supporting high quality development of a strategic site, creating employment opportunities through regeneration and facilitating housing that people can afford.

The meeting finished at 7.24 pm

Signed

Date

.....

.....

Chairman