

**22/P/01037**


**Land at High View  
Gomshall  
GU5 9LT**


Erection of two pairs of four-bedroom dwellings with  
associated access and landscaping.

[Previous](#)

[Next](#)

[Home](#)









**Site Photo**

**Previous**

**Next**

**Home**





**Site Photo**

**Previous**

**Next**

**Home**







# Planning History

## ***15/P/01497 - Outline application for the erection of 10 dwellings with associated access and landscaping.***

### **Reasons for refusal:**

*1. The proposed development is located in the Green Belt outside any identified settlement area and represents inappropriate development, which is by definition harmful to the Green Belt, its openness and permanence and the purposes of including land within it. No very special circumstances have been identified by the applicant that clearly outweigh the substantial harm to the Green Belt. The development therefore fails to accord with policy RE2 of the Guildford Borough Local Plan 2003 (as saved by CLG Direction on 24/09/2007), the NPPF and NPPG.*

*2. The proposal is required to provide a sustainable urban drainage system, where it has not been satisfactorily demonstrated that surface water can be managed on the site with a system of on-going maintenance for the lifetime of the development or any details to confirm that it would be inappropriate. The development therefore fails to accord with policy G1(7) of the Guildford Borough Local Plan 2003 (as saved by CLG Direction on 24/09/2007), the NPPF (para. 103) and the NPPG (Paragraph: 079 Reference ID: 7-079-20150415).*





# Dismissed Appeal Decision (2016): APP/Y3615/W/16/3148642

Para. 6-7: *‘The council accepts as a matter of fact and degree, that the site is within the Village of Gomshall... Policy RE3 is worded such that the reference to ‘small scale housing’ is an additional qualification to the main requirement for new building to be ‘in the nature of infilling’.*

Para. 8-9: *‘The site is... expansive in its scale and surrounded on three sides by loosely or undeveloped land. As such, I consider that the development of ten dwellings, spanning the full width of the site, would not represent limited infilling’.*


Para 10: *‘I also recognise that there is no substantive evidence to demonstrate that the proposal would be harmful to the character and appearance of the area’.*

Para 13: *‘The appellant’s appeal submissions include a Surface Water Management Strategy which finds that the additional surface water run-off from the proposed development could be safely and sustainably managed’.*












Elevation 1



Elevation 2







# **Relevant Planning Policy**

## **NPPF (2021) – Part 13: Protecting Green Belt Land**

Para 149. A local planning authority should regard the construction of new buildings as inappropriate in the Green Belt. Exceptions to this are:

(e) Limited infilling in villages

## **Local Plan (2019) – Policy P2: Green Belt**

Limited infilling (c) Limited infilling may also be appropriate outside the identified settlement boundaries where it can be demonstrated that the site should be considered to be within the village.

Gomshall is identified as one of these villages.





# Other considerations of the scheme

Ecological benefits

Sustainable development

Provision of housing

Improved walking paths

Balancing against Green Belt development