

## Recommendations to the Executive from the Overview and Scrutiny Committee

### Document Purpose

The intention of this document is to collate and track progress of all recommendations made by the Overview and Scrutiny Committee to the Executive throughout the year, and to log the Executive decisions on the submitted matters. The Executive's agreed response to the recommendations will be fed back to the Overview and Scrutiny Committee, and relevant officers.

### Explanatory note:

**Progress Status:** This column indicates individual progress status for each recommendation and will present one of three options:

- Awaiting Executive Consideration
- Accepted or Approved by the Executive
- Rejected by the Executive

**Suggested Response to Recommendation and Reasons:** This column indicates what action, if any, the Executive proposes to take or may already have been taken in response to the recommendation and the reasons) for the action, or no action.

### Approved Recommendations:

O&S Meeting Date /O&S Minute No.	O&S Agenda Item	O&S Recommendation	Considered by Executive on	Progress Status	Suggested Response to Recommendation and Reasons	Key Officer responsible for the item
2 March 2021 Reference OS63	Guildford Crematorium Redevelopment	That the Executive be requested to ensure that:	22 March 2022	Executive approved suggested response.	The Future Guildford Programme implemented the Council's transformation plan.	Abi Lewis/ Directors

O&S Meeting Date /O&S Minute No.	O&S Agenda Item	O&S Recommendation	Considered by Executive on	Progress Status	Suggested Response to Recommendation and Reasons	Key Officer responsible for the item
	Post Project Review	<p>1.Council projects are accurately scoped and well-defined at the outset and any extension of scope is assessed carefully.</p> <p>2.Council projects go beyond legal minimum standards and aspire to be the best possible.</p> <p>3.Senior officers be held accountable for ensuring that resources in place for projects are adequate.</p>			<p>As part of Phase A of the Programme, a new Project and Performance Management (PPM) Governance team was established in 2020 which has undertaken extensive work to implement a new PPM Governance Framework to improve the delivery of all GBC projects and programmes to achieve the strategic objectives set out in the Corporate and Local Plans. Now an Enterprise Portfolio Structure has been defined, work is underway to rationalise boards and clarify decision-making.</p> <p>The following specific processes implemented help to ensure the right project controls are in place from the outset:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A start-up process to control the number of projects initiated</li> <li>• A mandate being developed for each project for consideration by service leaders and Councillors helping to develop a common understanding of</li> </ul>	

O&S Meeting Date /O&S Minute No.	O&S Agenda Item	O&S Recommendation	Considered by Executive on	Progress Status	Suggested Response to Recommendation and Reasons	Key Officer responsible for the item
					<p>objectives and anticipated outcomes of projects.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Business Case, developed from the Strategic, through the Outline Business Case and confirmed at Full Business Case is a clear statement of scope and baselines and a robust rationale for proceeding with the project.</li> <li>• Progress through the stages is controlled by gates, these are managed by the Corporate Governance Team.</li> </ul> <p>The project mandate will provide a broad definition of a project's objectives, scope, constraints, benefits, risks and costs – which are further defined in the development of the business case. Aspirations to exceed minimum standards tends to come at the cost of time and money. The business case should recommend the option that provides best social value or best value for money and responds to any statutory requirements.</p>	

O&S Meeting Date /O&S Minute No.	O&S Agenda Item	O&S Recommendation	Considered by Executive on	Progress Status	Suggested Response to Recommendation and Reasons	Key Officer responsible for the item
					<p>The new PPM Governance Framework provides the opportunity for officers across the organisation to review project mandates and business cases, and to consider the potential impact of the proposals on their service area. This includes consideration of whether the project is achievable within the existing resources (financial and staffing) and whether mitigation is required to deliver the preferred option successfully. This might include highlighting a need to recruit to fill a specialist skillset that is necessary for the project and the required budget to enable this. The internal project governance structures ensure officers provide regular updates on the status of projects and provide the opportunity for risks and issues to be escalated to senior decision makers as necessary. An Enterprise Portfolio Board is being considered to ensure that resource constraints are understood across all GBC service areas before a project is initiated.</p>	

O&S Meeting Date /O&S Minute No.	O&S Agenda Item	O&S Recommendation	Considered by Executive on	Progress Status	Suggested Response to Recommendation and Reasons	Key Officer responsible for the item
9 November 2021 reference OS46	Guildford Crematorium Air Quality Audit	<p>That the following recommendations within section 3 of the SLR audit at Appendix 1 of the report submitted to the O&amp;S Committee be endorsed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• That measures or procedures are reviewed and where necessary improved, to allow Regulatory Services to satisfy themselves that work undertaken on their behalf has been undertaken in a comprehensive and technically robust manner, such as:</li> <li>• requiring evidence of the audit procedure, and documented audit trail; and</li> </ul>	22 March 2022	Executive approved suggested response.	<p>GBC's current Standard Selection Questionnaire (SSQ) - used at the outset of a procurement process to determine compliance of a potential supplier with any mandatory requirements - does not request confirmation of statutory or regulatory certification.</p> <p>However, the subsequent technical evaluation process is tailored according to the specifics of the project and the scope of services being procured. Where appropriate, confirmation and evidence of accreditation will be requested and evaluated. If works are procured via a framework e.g. construction works, the contractors are subject to significant scrutiny and vetting before being accepted onto the framework. If a project is particularly complex or technical, the Council will need to consider what specialist resource is needed to support the drafting of technical evaluation criteria</p>	Abi Lewis/ Directors

O&S Meeting Date /O&S Minute No.	O&S Agenda Item	O&S Recommendation	Considered by Executive on	Progress Status	Suggested Response to Recommendation and Reasons	Key Officer responsible for the item
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>requiring contractors to have a quality assurance system certified to a recognised standard (e.g., ISO 9001).</li> </ul>			<p>and the evaluation of tender responses. This would be established at the mandate stage.</p> <p>The Corporate Procurement Board acts as a gateway for projects that are above a certain financial threshold, or constitute high risk or sensitivity, providing further scrutiny over the most appropriate route to engage a supplier.</p> <p>The new project management and governance toolset, Verto, has the functionality to capture decisions made to ensure that there is an audit trail throughout the project lifecycle.</p>	
9 November 2021 reference OS47	Update on Project & Programme Management Governance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>That the Executive be requested to ensure that in relation to the closure and evaluation stages of Council projects the author of both the lessons learned report</li> </ul>	22 March 2022	Executive approved suggested response.	The Council's implemented PPM Governance Framework outlines the project lifecycle and approval gates that projects will ensure all lifecycle stages are undertaken for all projects, including closure, evaluation and lessons learned.	Abi Lewis/ Directors

O&S Meeting Date /O&S Minute No.	O&S Agenda Item	O&S Recommendation	Considered by Executive on	Progress Status	Suggested Response to Recommendation and Reasons	Key Officer responsible for the item
		<p>and the post-project evaluation be someone unconnected to the project.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• That further training and information on the Council's project and programme management be organised for Councillors.</li> </ul>			<p>Going forward the governance team can provide independent review at project closure stage and report to the Enterprise Portfolio Board if that is established.</p> <p>A series of formal training sessions explaining the reasons for mandates and business cases was delivered in November 2020 to introduce the new PPM governance arrangements. Follow up sessions relating to improving their understanding of programme and project governance in order to streamline governance and improve reporting were held for Councillors in December 2021. These sessions outlined the work done on the development of the governance structure and provided a demonstration of the reporting deck that is presented at Major Projects Portfolio Board. Ongoing training is being provided to induct new</p>	

O&S Meeting Date /O&S Minute No.	O&S Agenda Item	O&S Recommendation	Considered by Executive on	Progress Status	Suggested Response to Recommendation and Reasons	Key Officer responsible for the item
					Councillors and keep all Councillors up to date with developments.	